



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/578,448

05/08/2006

Armanda Cinderella Nieuwkerk

NL 031308

7008

24737

7590

10/22/2008

PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS

P.O. BOX 3001

BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510

EXAMINER

PRITCHETT, JOSHUA L

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2872

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

10/22/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/578,448	Applicant(s) NIEUWKERK ET AL.	
	Examiner JOSHUA L. PRITCHETT	Art Unit 2872	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 September 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-9 and 12-19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-9 and 12-19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 08 May 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/08</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to Amendment filed September 5, 2008. Applicant amended claims 1 and 3-9, cancelled claims 2, 10 and 11 and added claims 12-19.

Claim Objections

Claims 1 and 3-9 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 1 states “the mirror display device having at the non viewing side **at least one of** a group comprising a further polarizing mirror and a color generating means” (emphasis added). The claim does not require both a further polarizing mirror and a color generating means, therefore the additional limitation of the location of the further polarizing mirror in relation to the color generating means is objectionable. The remaining claims depend from claim 1 and inherit the deficiencies thereof. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Art Unit: 2872

Claims 1, 7-9, 12 and 17-19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Horsten (WO 03/079318).

Regarding claims 1 and 12, Horsten teaches a mirror (1) for viewing purposes having a first plane (2) reflecting light of a first kind of polarization to a viewing side, the mirror passing light of a second kind of polarization (p. 1 lines 1-14) and being provided with a display device (5) at its non-viewing side which display device during use provides light of the second kind of polarization (abstract) the mirror display device having at the non-viewing side a group comprising a further polarizing mirror (16) and a color generating means (11). Horsten further teaches the polarizing mirror between an electro-optical layer (12) and a color generation means (11; Fig. 4a). The examiner interprets the Horsten liquid crystal display as a color generating means.

Regarding claims 7 and 17, Horsten discloses polarizing means (14).

Regarding claims 8 and 18, Horsten discloses the polarizing means at its viewing side comprises a liquid crystal layer comprising a dye (page 7 lines 1-7).

Regarding claims 9 and 19, Horsten discloses the polarizing means at its viewing side comprises a half wave retarder and a polarizer (p. 6 lines 32-34).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2872

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horsten (WO 03/079318) in view of Conner (US 7,015,991).

Horsten teaches the invention as claimed but lacks reference to a color filter. Conner teaches the use of a color filter (abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the Horsten invention include a color filter as taught by Conner for the purpose of efficiently separating out unwanted wavelengths of light.

Claims 4-6 and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horsten (WO 03/079318) in view of Yoo (US 2004/0036672).

Horsten teaches the invention as claimed but lacks reference to a color sequential backlight. Yoo teaches a color sequential backlight (Fig. 6; para. 0043). Yoo teaches the backlight emitting narrow bands of light (para. 0048). Yoo teaches the bands of light having a bandwidth of 20nm (Fig. 6). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the Horsten invention include a color sequential backlight as taught by Yoo for the purpose of increasing the observed light intensity.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed September 5, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues Horsten fails to teach the claimed location of the further polarizing mirror. As shown in Fig. 4a of Horsten, the further polarizing mirror (16) is located between an electro-optical layer (12) and a color generating means (11). Horsten teaches the layer 12 is a switchable half wave plate which the examiner interprets as an electro-optical layer. The display element (11) includes a liquid crystal display which the examiner interprets as a color generating means. Therefore the Horsten reference teaches the claimed location of the further polarizing mirror.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2872

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOSHUA L. PRITCHETT whose telephone number is (571)272-2318. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:00 - 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephone B. Allen can be reached on 571-272-2434. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Joshua L Pritchett/
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2872